

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,854	09/26/2001	Gary F. Hirsch	GH 2	1600
75	90 04/09/2003			
Phillip L Free Jr.			EXAMINER	
Crowe & Dunlevy 1800 Mid-America Tower			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
20 North Broadway Oklahoma City, OK 73102-8273			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
j		09/963,854	HIRSCH ET AL.			
<i>J</i> .	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Page and the communication (a) filed on 02 f	Enhance 2002				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 f</u>					
2a)☐	,—	is action is non-final.	recognition as to the marits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-29</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-15</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>6 and 30-37</u> is/are objected to.		,			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/963,854

Art Unit: 1746

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims1-3, 5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Patton et al.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton et al. in view of Oldershaw.

Claim 4 defines over Patton as applied above only in the recitation of the pump.

Oldershaw is cited disclosing in a countercurrent-washing device, the use of a pump. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Patton, to include a pump as taught by Oldershaw, for the purpose of positively moving the fluid from vat to vat.

5. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Patton et al.

Claims 13-15 define over Patton only in the recitation of the particular aqueous bleach as claimed. Nonetheless, to employ one type of bleach over another is deemed to be an obvious matter of choice depending upon the desired effects.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,854

Art Unit: 1746

Page 3

6. Claims 6 and 30-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

7. Claims 16-29 are allowed.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached during the first week of the

pay-period M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and during the second week of the pay-

period from Tu-Th second from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Fri. from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00

p.m. Alternating Mondays off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0661.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages,

forms etc.), contact Office Manger Ms. Sandra Sewell (703) 308-0661.

RANKIE L. STINSON

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

fls